A

Subgroup analysis of the effects of activities of daily living according to intervention period.

Study					Effect siz with 95%		Weight (%)
(1) Intervention ≤ 1 month							
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector			_		-0.21 [ -0.95,	0.52]	7.07
Labruyère R (2014)-Lokomat		-			-0.04 [ -0.97,	0.88]	4.46
Shin JC (2014)-Lokomat		_	_		-0.01 [ -0.55,	0.53]	13.14
Song MS (2012)-Lokomat			-		0.70 [ -0.17,	1.56]	5.12
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable					-0.07 [ -0.93,	0.79]	5.19
Midik M (2020)-Lokomat					0.38 [ -0.35,	1.10]	7.30
Heterogeneity: $T^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		-			0.10 [ -0.20,	0.40]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 3.50, p = 0.62							
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.64, p = 0.52							
(2) Intervention > 2 months							
Wirz M (2017)-Lokomat			-		0.97 [ -0.01,	1.95]	3.94
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat					0.43 [ -0.03,	0.89]	17.94
Cheung EYY (2019)-Lokomat		-			-0.09 [ -1.07,	0.89]	3.96
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat					0.48 [ -0.13,	1.09]	10.11
Yıldırım MA(2019)-Lokomat					0.17 [ -0.24,	0.59]	21.75
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		-			0.34 [ 0.09,	0.60]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(4) = 3.26, p = 0.52							
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 2.61, p = 0.01							
Overall		•	•		0.24 [ 0.04,	0.43]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$							
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(10) = 8.24, p = 0.61							
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 2.40, p = 0.02							
Test of group differences: Q $_{b}(1) = 1.47$ , p = 0.22							
	-1	ò	1	2			
Random-effects REML model							

Supplementary Fig. S2. Subgroup analysis.

B

Subgroup analysis of the effects of activities of daily living according to onset period.

Study				Effect size with 95% Cl	Weight (%)
(1) Acute					
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector	-			-0.21 [ -0.95, 0.52	] 7.07
Shin JC (2014)-Lokomat		-		-0.01 [ -0.55, 0.53	] 13.14
Song MS (2012)-Lokomat			•	0.70 [ -0.17, 1.56	] 5.12
Wirz M (2017)-Lokomat			-	0.97 [ -0.01, 1.95	] 3.94
Yıldırım MA(2019)-Lokomat				0.17 [ -0.24, 0.59	] 21.75
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		-		0.19 [ -0.09, 0.46	]
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(4) = 5.43, p = 0.25					
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.35, p = 0.18					
(2) Subacute					
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable				-0.07 [ -0.93, 0.79	] 5.19
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat				0.43 [ -0.03, 0.89	] 17.94
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat			<b>—</b>	0.48 [ -0.13, 1.09	] 10.11
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		-		0.37 [ 0.03, 0.70	]
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 1.20, p = 0.55					
Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 2.11$ , $p = 0.03$					
(3) Chronic					
Labruyère R (2014)-Lokomat		-		-0.04 [ -0.97, 0.88	] 4.46
Midik M (2020)-Lokomat		-		0.38 [ -0.35, 1.10	] 7.30
Cheung EYY (2019)-Lokomat		-		-0.09 [ -1.07, 0.89	] 3.96
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$			-	0.14 [ -0.35, 0.63	]
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 0.77, p = 0.68					
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.55, p = 0.58					
Overall		•		0.24 [ 0.04, 0.43	]
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$					
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(10) = 8.24, p = 0.61					
Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 2.40$ , $p = 0.02$					
Test of group differences: Q $_{\rm b}(2)$ = 0.83, p = 0.66		0	1		
Random-effects REML model	-1	U	1	2	

## Subgroup analysis of the effects of muscular strength according to intervention period.

Study	Effect size with 95% Cl	Weight (%)
(1) Intervention ≤ 1 month		
Shin JC (2014)-Lokomat	0.01 [ -0.53, 0.55]	14.63
Xiang XN (2021)-Wearable	0.07 [ -0.85, 1.00]	4.97
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector	-0.06 [ -0.79, 0.67]	7.92
Labruyère R (2014)-Lokomat	-0.03 [ -0.95, 0.89]	4.97
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable	0.34 [ -0.53, 1.20]	5.70
Midik M (2020)-Lokomat	0.98 [ 0.22, 1.74]	7.34
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.02$ , $I^2 = 12.97\%$ , $H^2 = 1.15$	0.20 [ -0.13, 0.53]	
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 5.43, p = 0.37		
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.20, p = 0.23		
(2) Intervention > 2 months		
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat	0.28 [ -0.18, 0.73]	20.24
Cheung EYY (2019)-Lokomat	0.04 [ -0.94, 1.02]	4.42
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat	0.20 [ -0.41, 0.81]	11.54
Ma TT (2022)-Lokomat	0.81 [ -0.11, 1.72]	5.07
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$	0.29 [ -0.03, 0.62]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(3) = 1.56, p = 0.67		
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.80, p = 0.07		
(3) Intervention > 3 months		
Field-Fote EC (2011)-Lokomat	-0.06 [ -0.77, 0.65]	8.48
Piira A (2019)-Lokomat	0.51 [ -0.43, 1.46]	4.72
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$	0.15 [ -0.42, 0.71]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(1) = 0.90, p = 0.34		
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.51, p = 0.61		
Overall	• 0.23 [ 0.02, 0.44]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(11) = 8.17, p = 0.70		
Test of $\theta = 0$ : z = 2.19, p = 0.03		
Test of group differences: Q $_{b}(2) = 0.26$ , p = 0.88		
	1 0 1 2	
Random-effects REML model		

D

Study		Effect size with 95% Cl	Weight (%)
(1) Acute			
Shin JC (2014)-Lokomat		0.01 [ -0.53, 0.55]	14.63
Xiang XN (2021)-Wearable		0.07 [ -0.85, 1.00]	4.97
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector		-0.06 [ -0.79, 0.67]	7.92
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$	-	-0.00 [ -0.39, 0.39]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 0.05, p = 0.97			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = -0.00, p = 1.00			
(2) Subacute			
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable	<b>_</b>	0.34 [ -0.53, 1.20]	5.70
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat		0.28 [ -0.18, 0.73]	20.24
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat		0.20 [ -0.41, 0.81]	11.54
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		0.26 [ -0.07, 0.60]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 0.07, p = 0.96			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.53, p = 0.13			
(3) Chronic			
Labruyère R (2014)-Lokomat		-0.03 [ -0.95, 0.89]	4.97
Midik M (2020)-Lokomat		0.98 [ 0.22, 1.74]	7.34
Cheung EYY (2019)-Lokomat		0.04 [ -0.94, 1.02]	4.42
Ma TT (2022)-Lokomat		0.81 [ -0.11, 1.72]	5.07
Field-Fote EC (2011)-Lokomat		-0.06 [ -0.77, 0.65]	8.48
Piira A (2019)-Lokomat		0.51 [ -0.43, 1.46]	4.72
Heterogeneity: $T^2 = 0.06$ , $I^2 = 22.67\%$ , $H^2 = 1.29$		0.38 [ -0.02, 0.78]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 6.00, p = 0.31			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.86, p = 0.06			
Overall	•	0.23 [ 0.02, 0.44]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$			
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(11) = 8.17, p = 0.70			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 2.19, p = 0.03			
Test of group differences: Q $_{b}(2) = 1.88$ , p = 0.39	-1 0 1	2	
Random-effects REML model			

E

Subgroup analysis of the effects of WISCI (walking index for spinal cord injury) according to intervention period.

Study					Effect size with 95% C		Weigh (%)
(1) Intervention ≤ 1 month							
Duffell LD (2015)-Lokomat					-0.27 [ -0.80,	0.25]	12.94
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector			-		0.94 [ 0.17,	1.71]	7.54
Shin JC (2014)-Lokomat	_				-0.00 [ -0.54,	0.54]	12.59
Labruyère R (2014)-Lokomat		-			0.10 [ -0.82,	1.03]	5.65
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable			-		1.30 [ 0.35,	2.25]	5.39
Midik M (2020)-Lokomat					0.54 [ -0.19,	1.27]	8.24
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.21$ , $I^2 = 62.54\%$ , $H^2 = 2.67$					0.37 [ -0.11,	0.84]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 13.12, p = 0.02							
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.52, p = 0.13							
(2) Intervention > 2 months							
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat					0.42 [ -0.04,	0.88]	15.14
Cheung EYY (2019)-Lokomat		-			0.30 [ -0.69,	1.29]	5.07
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat	-	-			0.21 [ -0.40,	0.81]	10.76
Yıldırım MA(2019)-Lokomat		_	-		0.33 [ -0.09,	0.75]	16.69
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		-			0.33 [ 0.07,	0.60]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(3) = 0.32, p = 0.96							
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 2.46, p = 0.01							
Overall		•			0.31 [ 0.07,	0.55]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.04$ , $I^2 = 30.67\%$ , $H^2 = 1.44$							
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(9) = 13.65, p = 0.14							
Test of θ = 0: z = 2.54, p = 0.01							
Test of group differences: Q $_{b}(1) = 0.01$ , p = 0.91							
Danders officets DEMI model	-1	0	1	2			
Random-effects REML model							



Subgroup analysis of the effects of WISCI (walking index for spinal cord injury) according to onset period.

Study		Effect size with 95% Cl	Weigh (%)
(1) Acute			
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector		0.94 [ 0.17, 1.71]	7.54
Yıldırım MA(2019)-Lokomat		0.33 [ -0.09, 0.75]	16.69
Shin JC (2014)-Lokomat	<b>_</b>	-0.00 [ -0.54, 0.54]	12.59
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.07$ , $I^2 = 45.65\%$ , $H^2 = 1.84$		0.35 [ -0.09, 0.78]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 3.84, p = 0.15			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.57, p = 0.12			
(2) Subacute			
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable		1.30 [ 0.35, 2.25]	5.39
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat		0.42 [ -0.04, 0.88]	15.14
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat		0.21 [ -0.40, 0.81]	10.76
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$ , $I^2 = 35.72\%$ , $H^2 = 1.56$		0.51 [ 0.06, 0.96]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 3.70, p = 0.16			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 2.20, p = 0.03			
(3) Chronic			
Duffell LD (2015)-Lokomat		-0.27 [ -0.80, 0.25]	12.94
Labruyère R (2014)-Lokomat		0.10 [ -0.82, 1.03]	5.65
Midik M (2020)-Lokomat		0.54 [ -0.19, 1.27]	8.24
Cheung EYY (2019)-Lokomat		0.30 [ -0.69, 1.29]	5.07
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.06$ , $I^2 = 26.96\%$ , $H^2 = 1.37$		0.10 [ -0.34, 0.54]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(3) = 3.46, p = 0.33			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.44, p = 0.66			
Overall	•	0.31 [ 0.07, 0.55]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.04$ , $I^2 = 30.67\%$ , $H^2 = 1.44$			
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(9) = 13.65, p = 0.14			
Test of $\theta = 0$ : z = 2.54, p = 0.01			
Test of group differences: Q $_{\rm b}(2)$ = 1.64, p = 0.44	· · · · ·	-	
	-1 0 1 2		
Random-effects REML model			



Subgroup analysis of the effects of 10MWT (10 meter walk test) speed according to intervention period.

Study		Effect size with 95% CI	Weight (%)
(1) Intervention ≤ 1 month			
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable		0.42 [ -0.44, 1.29]	7.71
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector	_	0.64 [ -0.11, 1.39]	8.33
Labruyère R (2014)-Lokomat		-0.06 [ -0.98, 0.86]	7.41
Tang Q (2014)-Lokomat		0.00 [ -0.72, 0.72]	8.52
Song MS (2012)-Lokomat		-0.46 [ -1.31, 0.39]	7.81
Chang SH (2018)-Wearable		- 0.00 [ -1.50, 1.50]	4.84
Heterogeneity: T <sup>2</sup> = 0.01, I <sup>2</sup> = 2.52%, H <sup>2</sup> = 1.03	•	0.12 [ -0.24, 0.48]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 4.38, p = 0.50			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.67, p = 0.50			
(2) Intervention > 2 months			
Ma TT (2022)-Lokomat		0.86 [ -0.06, 1.78]	7.43
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat		0.08 [ -0.53, 0.68]	9.11
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat		0.15 [ -0.30, 0.61]	9.84
Cheung EYY (2019)-Lokomat		-0.08 [ -1.06, 0.90]	7.11
Heterogeneity: $T^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$	•	0.19 [ -0.13, 0.51]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(3) = 2.49, p = 0.48			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.17, p = 0.24			
(3) Intervention > 3 months			
Field-Fote EC (2011)-Lokomat	<b>_</b>	-2.60 [ -3.58, -1.63]	7.14
Edwards DJ (2022)-Wearable		0.62 [ -0.31, 1.54]	7.41
Piira A (2019)-Lokomat		-0.13 [ -1.06, 0.81]	7.36
Heterogeneity: T <sup>2</sup> = 2.60, I <sup>2</sup> = 91.81%, H <sup>2</sup> = 12.21		-0.70 [ -2.60, 1.20]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 23.87, p = 0.00			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = -0.72, p = 0.47			
Overall	•	-0.02 [ -0.46, 0.42]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.46$ , $I^2 = 73.44\%$ , $H^2 = 3.77$			
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(12) = 37.83, p = 0.00			
Test of $\theta = 0$ : z = -0.11, p = 0.91			
Test of group differences: $Q_b(2) = 0.85$ , p = 0.65	r		
	-4 -2 0	2	
Random-effects REML model			

×	_	

Subgroup analysis of the effects of 10MWT (10 meter walk test) speed according to onset period.

Study			Effect size with 95% C		Weigh (%)
(1) Acute					
Song MS (2012)-Lokomat		_	-0.46 [ -1.31,	0.39]	7.81
Chang SH (2018)-Wearable			0.00 [ -1.50,	1.50]	4.84
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector			0.64 [ -0.11,	1.39]	8.33
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.22$ , $I^2 = 47.35\%$ , $H^2 = 1.90$			0.10 [ -0.67,	0.87]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 3.65, p = 0.16					
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.24, p = 0.81					
(2) Subacute					
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable			0.42 [ -0.44,	1.29]	7.71
Tang Q (2014)-Lokomat			0.00 [ -0.72,	0.72]	8.52
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat			0.08 [ -0.53,	0.68]	9.11
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat			0.15 [ -0.30,	0.61]	9.84
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		•	0.14 [ -0.16,	0.44]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(3) = 0.61, p = 0.89					
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 0.90, p = 0.37					
(3) Chronic					
Cheung EYY (2019)-Lokomat			-0.08 [ -1.06,	0.90]	7.11
Field-Fote EC (2011)-Lokomat			-2.60 [ -3.58, -	1.63]	7.14
Edwards DJ (2022)-Wearable			0.62 [ -0.31,	1.54]	7.41
Piira A (2019)-Lokomat			-0.13 [ -1.06,	0.81]	7.36
Labruyère R (2014)-Lokomat			-0.06 [ -0.98,	0.86]	7.41
Ma TT (2022)-Lokomat			0.86 [ -0.06,	1.78]	7.43
Heterogeneity: $T^2 = 1.27$ , $I^2 = 84.61\%$ , $H^2 = 6.50$			-0.23 [ -1.21,	0.75]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(5) = 31.63, p = 0.00					
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = -0.45, p = 0.65					
Overall		•	-0.02 [ -0.46,	0.42]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.46$ , $I^2 = 73.44\%$ , $H^2 = 3.77$					
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(12) = 37.83, p = 0.00					
Test of $\theta = 0$ : z = -0.11, p = 0.91					
Test of group differences: $Q_{b}(2) = 0.49$ , p = 0.78	[		7		
	-4 -2	0	2		
Random-effects REML model					

Subgroup analysis of the effects of 6MWT (6 min walk test) distance according to intervention period.

Study		Effect size with 95% Cl	Weigh (%)
(1) Intervention ≤ 1 month			
Chang SH (2018)-Wearable		1.05 [ -0.58, 2.69]	2.25
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector		0.03 [ -0.70, 0.76]	11.19
Song MS (2012)-Lokomat		0.88 [ 0.00, 1.76]	7.76
Xiang XN (2021)-Wearable		1.22 [ 0.20, 2.24]	5.81
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable		0.35 [ -0.51, 1.21]	8.04
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.07$ , $I^2 = 23.92\%$ , $H^2 = 1.31$	-	0.59 [ 0.10, 1.07]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(4) = 4.74, p = 0.31			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 2.37, p = 0.02			
(2) Intervention > 2 months			
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat		0.36 [ -0.25, 0.97]	16.12
Ma TT (2022)-Lokomat		0.84 [ -0.08, 1.76]	7.10
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat		0.28 [ -0.18, 0.73]	28.59
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$	•	0.38 [ 0.04, 0.72]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 1.18, p = 0.56			
Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 2.18$ , $p = 0.03$			
(3) Intervention > 3 months			
Piira A (2019)-Lokomat		0.02 [ -0.92, 0.95]	6.90
Evans RW (2021)-Wearable		-0.10 [ -1.09, 0.88]	6.23
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$	-	-0.04 [ -0.72, 0.64]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(1) = 0.03, p = 0.86			
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = -0.12, p = 0.91			
Overall	•	0.39 [ 0.14, 0.63]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$			
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(9) = 8.11, p = 0.52			
Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 3.08$ , $p = 0.00$			
Test of group differences: Q $_{b}(2) = 2.19$ , p = 0.33	-1 0 1 2	3	
Random-effects REML model			



Subgroup analysis of the effects of 6MWT (6 min walk test) distance according to onset period.

Study					Effect with 959		Weigl (%)
(1) Acute							
Chang SH (2018)-Wearable	_				1.05 [ -0.5	8, 2.69]	2.25
Shin JC (2023)-Endeffector		-			0.03 [ -0.7	0, 0.76]	11.19
Song MS (2012)-Lokomat				_	0.88 [ 0.0	0, 1.76]	7.76
Xiang XN (2021)-Wearable					1.22 [ 0.2	0, 2.24]	5.81
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.16$ , $I^2 = 38.51\%$ , $H^2 = 1.63$					0.69 [ 0.0	6, 1.32]	
Test of $\theta_1 = \theta_1$ : Q(3) = 4.46, p = 0.22							
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 2.14, p = 0.03							
(2) Subacute							
Gil-Agudo Á (2023)-Wearable	-	-			0.35 [ -0.5	1, 1.21]	8.0
Esclarín-Ruz A(2014)-Lokomat		-			0.36 [ -0.2	5, 0.97]	16.1
Alcobendas-Maestro M (2012)-Lokomat			-		0.28 [ -0.1	8, 0.73]	28.6
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$		-	•		0.31 [ -0.0	2, 0.65]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 0.05, p = 0.98							
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 1.81, p = 0.07							
(3) Chronic							
Piira A (2019)-Lokomat					0.02 [ -0.9	2, 0.95]	6.9
Evans RW (2021)-Wearable		•	_		-0.13 [ -1.1	2, 0.85]	6.2
Ma TT (2022)-Lokomat				-	0.84 [ -0.0	8, 1.76]	7.1
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.05$ , $I^2 = 18.50\%$ , $H^2 = 1.23$		-			0.26 [ -0.3	5, 0.86]	
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(2) = 2.43, p = 0.30							
Test of $\theta = 0$ : $z = 0.83$ , $p = 0.40$							
Overall		•	•		0.38 [ 0.1	4, 0.63]	
Heterogeneity: $\tau^2 = 0.00$ , $I^2 = 0.00\%$ , $H^2 = 1.00$							
Test of $\theta_i = \theta_j$ : Q(9) = 8.23, p = 0.51							
Test of $\theta$ = 0: z = 3.07, p = 0.00							
Test of group differences: Q $_{b}(2) = 1.22$ , p = 0.54							
	-1	ò	1	2	3		
Random-effects REML model							